

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/689,159 10/12/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Peter H. St. Georges-Hyslop	1034/1F808-US7	2656	
75	590 03/15/2002				
Paul F Fehlner PhD Darby & Darby PC 805 Third Avenue			EXAMINER		
			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	plication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/689,159		ST. GEORGES-HYSLOP ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert Land	dsman	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2001							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>73-81</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) 73-81 are subject to restriction and/or	election requ	uirement.					
	on Papers							
<u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		•					
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the he proposed drawing correction filed on				or			
י נבוליי	•			red by the Examini	31.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Asknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a) (to a provisional application)								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/689,159

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Election/Restriction

- A. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 73-79, drawn to an antibody which binds SEQ ID NO:2, 4, 134, 136 or 137 and hybridomas, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - II. claim 80-81, drawn to a method for detecting Presentilin in a sample using an antibody, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the antibody can be used to purify protein, or in treatment of a condition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

C. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 (h).

Art Unit: 1647

In addition, in order for Applicants' election to be held fully responsive, Applicants must elect one SEQ ID NO from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:2, 4, 134, 136 and 137. These proteins are independent and distinct and, therefore, would require independent non-overlapping searches of protein databases and of antibodies which bind these proteins.

Furthermore, if Applicants elect Group I, they are required to elect one amino acid region of SEQ ID NO:2 (amino acids 30-45, 109-120, 304-319, 346-360). An oligomer search of protein databases for one fragment of SEQ ID NO:2 to identify these fragments in the art and antibodies which bind them would not necessarily overlap a search for another fragment, or for the full-length SEQ ID NO:2.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 March 15, 2002

GARY L. KUNZ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600